

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/828,524	04/05/2004	Bishun N. Khare	ARC-14661-2	4320	
	7590 09/17/2007 RESEARCH CENTER	•	EXAMINER		
ATTN: PATENT COUNSEL			STOUFFER, KELLY M		
MAIL STOP 20 MOFFETT FIE	02A-4 ELD, CA 94035-1000		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
	•		1762		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE ·	
			09/17/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/828,524	KHARE ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Kelly Stouffer	1762		
The MAILING L Period for Reply	PATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence a	ddress	
A SHORTENED STA' WHICHEVER IS LON - Extensions of time may be a after SIX (6) MONTHS from - If NO period for reply is spec - Failure to reply within the se	GER, FROM THE MAILING DAY vailable under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 the mailing date of this communication. cified above, the maximum statutory period vector extended period for reply will, by statute ffice later than three months after the mailing ent. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply b vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS f cause the application to become ABANDO	ION. e timely filed rom the mailing date of this oneD (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ŕ	
Status					
2a) ☐ This action is F 3) ☐ Since this applie	communication(s) filed on <u>05 A</u> NAL. 2b) This cation is in condition for allowar than the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters,	•	e merits is	
Disposition of Claims					
4a) Of the above 5) Claim(s) 6) Claim(s) 7) Claim(s)	is/are rejected.	vn from consideration.	ion requirement.		
Application Papers					
10)∭ The drawing(s) to Applicant may no Replacement dra	n is objected to by the Examine filed on is/are: a) ☐ account request that any objection to the wing sheet(s) including the correct aration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. ion is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 C		
Priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cite		4) Interview Summ			
Notice of Draftsperson's I Information Disclosure St Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Paper No(s)/Ma 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:	il Date al Patent Application		

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1, 4-10, 21-22, and 24-31, drawn to a method, classified in class 427, subclass 248.1.
- II. Claims 11, 14-20, 32-33, and 35-42, drawn to an apparatus, classified in class 118, subclass 715.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions in groups I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus can be used to practice another and materially different process such as etching.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required

Application/Control Number: 10/828,524

Art Unit: 1762

because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

A telephone call was made to John Schipper on 10 September 2007 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim

Art Unit: 1762

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kelly Stouffer whose telephone number is (571) 272-2668. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday 7:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy Meeks can be reached on (571) 272-1423. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Kelly Stouffer Examiner Art Unit 1762

kms

\ TIMOTHY MEEKS
SUPERVISORY PATENT FXAMINED